

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/668,112	Applicant(s) GRANDCOLAS ET AL.	
	Examiner Pramila Parthasarathy	Art Unit 2136	

All Participants:

(1) Pramila Parthasarathy.

(2) John M. Harrington.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 3 July 2006
Time: 11:30AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1 & 25

Prior art documents discussed:


None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner requested amending the Claim limitaion to reflect "creating an authentication token and redirecting a web browser.." and directed applicant's attention to Instant application specification pages 2,7,10. Applicant agreed to amend the claims 1 and 25.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)